CASE REPORT

latrogenic non-coronary leaflet perforation as a complication after robotic mitral valve repair

Afksendiyos Kalangos^{1*}, Yilmaz Zorman¹, Emel Celiker Güler² and Nataliia Shatelen³

Abstract

latrogenic aortic regurgitation secondary to leaflet injury is a rare complication of mitral valve surgery. For the first time, we report a patient who had progressive aortic regurgitation due to non-coronary leaflet perforation after robotic mitral valve repair and required aortic valve repair 18 months after this initial surgery. As in our case, aortic regurgitation after mitral valve surgery may remain undiagnosed on intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography or undetected until the patient's discharge due to gradual enlargement of very small perforations over the postoperative course.

Keywords Mitral valve repair, latrogenic aortic regurgitation, Non-coronary leaflet perforation, Robotic mitral surgery

The mitro-aortic intervalvular fibrosa ensures the continuity between the anterior mitral leaflet and mainly the left and non-coronary aortic leaflets and therefore plays an essential role in both valves' anatomic and functional integrity [1]. Surgical sutures anchoring the mitral annuloplasty ring or prosthesis on the anterior annulus may cause left or non-coronary aortic leaflet injury [2–13] more often than that of the right leaflet [14], leading to aortic regurgitation (AR). As minimally invasive access to the mitral valve is becoming more widely used, this potential complication should be more carefully evaluated in the patients' intraoperative, early, and midterm echocardiographic outcomes [9]. We report a case of iatrogenic AR in a patient who underwent robotic mitral valve repair (MVR). The patient's informed consent was received.

Afksendiyos Kalangos

¹Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Koc University Hospital, Davutpasa Cd No:4, Istanbul, Topkapi 34363, Turkey A 39-year-old woman with a history of robot-assisted MVR for asymptomatic severe mitral regurgitation 18 months ago was first seen at our institution for surgical advice regarding the progressive AR detected on routine postoperative follow-up transthoracic echocardiographic (TTE) controls. The MVR consisted of an annuloplasty using a complete Medtronic CG Future ring (Medtronic, Inc, Minneapolis, USA), size 34. Interrupted 2–0 braided polyester nonpledgetted mattress sutures were placed robotically around the native mitral annulus for ring implantation.

The degree of AR on intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) before MVR was estimated as trivial and as an eccentric mild to moderate leak after it, with no written information on its mechanism available in the patient's medical file. She was discharged from the hospital on the fourth postoperative day with no residual mitral regurgitation or further aggravation of the aortic one. After discharge, the patient presented progressive dyspnea and palpitation episodes on moderate exertion, which gradually increased and became severe on the sixth postoperative month's follow-up TTE. The last preoperative TEE showed severe eccentric AR, which seemed to originate from the non-coronary leaflet (Video



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate of the original autory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



Open Access

^{*}Correspondence:

a.kalangos@gmail.com

²Department of Adult Cardiology, Koc University Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ³Department of Cardiac Surgery, Heart Institute Ministry of Health of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine

1). During the postoperative follow-up, the patient had no fever episodes or other clinical and biochemical factors in favor of suspected endocarditis.

The aortic valve was exposed through a transverse aortotomy and an 8-mm diameter hole with thickened fibrous circumference was found at the basal midpoint of the non-coronary leaflet (Fig. 1-A). The presence of braided mattress annuloplasty sutures incorporated into a fibrous reaction close to the annular extension of the hole was confirmed through the aortic orifice by exploring the mitro-aortic continuity. A bovine pericardial patch closed the perforation with a running 6/0 polypropylene suture material (Fig. 1-B). Intraoperative post-repair TEE confirmed satisfactory surgical correction with no residual leak. The postoperative course is uneventful over the two years after surgery.

Discussion

The anatomic continuity between the mitral and aortic valves is a fibrous, avascular, and fully dynamic portion of the heart that can potentially be the site of aortic valve injury during mitral annuloplasty or replacement [15]. Although surgeons practicing MVR in big-volume centers can be exposed to this complication during their professional career, the paucity of reported cases in the literature surprisingly makes us speculate that the proper number of iatrogenic aortic valve injury is underestimated. Aortic valve injury, especially of the left or noncoronary leaflet, usually occurs during the placement of the anterior mitral annuloplasty stitches while bringing the tip of 2-0 mattress braided sutures' needle back from the left ventricular to the left atrial side across the anterior annulus. Partial rings can potentially decrease the risk of aortic valve injury as the portion of the anterior annulus between both trigonal areas does not necessitate any stitch placement. In all previously reported articles, AR resulted from tethering of left or non-coronary leaflet due to an inadvertently placed suture preventing proper cusp mobility [2, 4, 6, 7, 13] or perforation of one of the three aortic leaflets tackled by an improperly orientated needle during its passage through the anterior mitral annulus [3, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14]. The non-coronary leaflet is more likely to suffer from injury than the left and right coronary leaflets. Out of the total 19 patients previously presented in the literature, 13 had injury of the non-coronary leaflet [2, 3, 8, 9, 11–13], 5 had that of the left coronary leaflet [3-7], and one had that of the right coronary leaflet [14]. In our case, the mechanism of progressive AR was probably due to the gradual increase of the non-coronary leaflet tear, as was previously described by Lakew et al. in three patients who underwent minimally invasive MVR [9]. Their patients gradually developed relevant AR over the postoperative course and required aortic valve repair 22 days, 6.5 months, and 4 years after their MVR [9]. Although advanced robotic technology enables better visualization of the annulus coupled with high definition and 3-dimensional secondary vision compared to minimally invasive techniques, the lack of tactile feedback in robotic surgery still persists, limiting the surgeon's ability to assess suture depth, tension, and needle orientation [16].



Fig. 1 A Operative photograph of 8-mm non-coronary leaflet perforation at the midpoint of its basal portion. B Operative photograph of the perforation closed by a bovine pericardial patch

In conclusion, the function of the aortic valve should be carefully checked on a routine basis on intraoperative post-repair TEE. The echocardiographer and the surgeon should seriously consider any change, even mild, in the degree of AR. In this condition, a better assessment of the mechanism of AR by intraoperative post-repair threedimensional TEE should be adopted as a strategy [10]. If any potential aortic valve injury is suspected, exploration of the aortic valve at the time of the same surgery might be considered in conventional mitral valve procedures. The dilemma will persist in case of minimally invasive or robotic mitral procedures, whether or not post-repair AR changes have to impose the conversion of the incision.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13019-024-02753-4.

Video 1. Preoperative two-dimensional TEE showing the aortic regurgitation through the non-coronary leaflet's perforation.

Author contributions

A wrote the main manuscript B collected all the data C completed the echocardiographic controls and contributed to the writting D collected the material and photos.

Funding

Not applicable.

Data availability

The data of the patient are available in the electronic medical file of the Koc University Hospital and can be accessed after the approval of the medical board of the Hospital.

Declarations

Ethical approval

Internal Review board and ethical committee of Koc University Hospital, Registry Number: 2023.156.IRB1. 048. The consent to participate and consent to publish were approved based on the written consent of the patient.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 20 October 2023 / Accepted: 29 March 2024 Published online: 12 June 2024

References

- Cavalcanti JS, Oliviera Ede L, Godoi ET, de Santos LP. Lima E Silva VX, Oliviera Mde L. Mesoscopic study of the mitral valve and its fibrous ring. Arq Bra Cardiol. 1997;69:243–6.
- Kolakalapudi P, Chaudhry S, Omar B. latrogenic aortic insufficiency following mitral valve replacement: case report and review of the literature. J Clin Med Res. 2015;7(6):485–9.
- Hill AC, Bansal RC, Razzouk AJ, Liu M, Bailey LL, Gundry SR. Echocardiographic recognition of iatrogenic aortic valve leaflet perforation. Ann Thorac Surg. 1997;64(3):684–9.
- Ducharme A, Courval JF, Dore A, Leclerc Y, Tardif JC. Severe aortic regurgitation immediately after mitral valve annuloplasty. Ann Thorac Surg. 1999;67(5):1487–9.
- Rother A, Smith B, Adams DH, Collard CD. Transesophageal echocardiographic diagnosis of acute aortic valve insufficiency after mitral valve repair. Anesth Analg. 2000;91(2):499–500.
- 6. Metha AR, Hunsaker R. latrogenic aortic incompetence after mitral valve replacement. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2007;21(2):276–8.
- Santiago M, El-Dayem MA, Dimitrova G, Awad H. Missed diagnosis of iatrogenic acute aortic insufficiency after mitral valve surgery. Int Anesthesiol Clin. 2011;49(2):26–31.
- Dogan M, Acikel S, Aslantas U, Cimen T, Yeter E. Inadvertent complication of prosthetic valve surgery: leaflet perforation. Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove). 2013;56(4):167–9.
- 9. Lakew F, Urbanski PP. Aortic valve leaflet perforation after minimally invasive mitral valve repair. Ann Thorac Surg. 2016;101:1180–2.
- Dreyfus JA, Feldman LJ, Lepage L, Brochet E, Berjeb N, Lung B, et al. latrogenic aortic perforation assessed using three-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography. Arch Cardiovasc Disease. 2011;104:486–7. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.acvd.2010.12.010.
- 11. Aboelnasr M, Rohn V. Aortic valve leaflet perforation after mitral valve repair. Prague Med Rep. 2013;114(3):172–6.
- Oakley L, Love K, Ramirez A, Boswell G, Nayak K. Cardiac gated computed tomography used to confirm iatrogenic aortic valve leaflet perforation after mitral valve replacement. Case Rep Cardiol. 2013;2013:528439.
- Pagel PS, Cummings CE, Schroeder AR, De Vry DJ, Linn KA. Why the new severe aortic insufficiency after mitral and tricuspid valve repair? J Cardiothorac Vasc Anest. 2014;28(6):1704–6.
- Al Yamani MI, Frapier JM, Battistella PD, Albat B. Right coronary perforation after mitral valve replacement interactive. Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2013:16:387–8.
- 15. Kopuz C, Erk K, Baris YS, Onderoglu S, Sinav A. Morphometry of the fibrous ring of the mitral valve. Ann Anat. 1995;177:151–4.
- Nifong LW, Chitwood WR, Pappas PS, Smith CR, Argenziano M, Starnes VA, et al. Robotic mitral valve surgery: a United States multicenter trial. JTCVS. 2005;129:1395–404.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.