CASE REPORT Open Access

LVAD with concomitant rapid deployment valve implantation – a case report



Daniel D. Holloway¹, Lindsay C. Jones¹, Soo J. Howell¹, Jonathan D. Rich² and Duc Thinh Pham^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Aortic valve insufficiency can have significant hemodynamic consequences for patients with left ventricular assist devices. A circulation loop can limit systemic blood flow and increase left ventricular filling pressure.

Case presentation: A 64-year-old male with non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy underwent Heartware™ HVAD left ventricular assist device implantation with successful concomitant aortic valve replacement with an Edwards Intuity rapid deployment prosthetic valve.

Conclusions: The use of this rapid deployment valve may have benefits over other techniques including shorter cross clamp times during surgery, intermediate-long term durability, and preservation of aortic valve opening to allow for potential ventricular recovery. The Intuity rapid deployment valve should thus be considered a viable and suitable option for aortic insufficiency intervention during LVAD implantation.

Keywords: Left ventricular assist device, Aortic valve replacement, Rapid deployment valve, Mechanical circulatory support

Abbreviations: Al, Aortic valve insufficiency; AV, Aortic valve; ECMO, Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; LVAD, Left ventricular assist device; LV, Left ventricle

Background

Aortic valve insufficiency (AI) can have significant hemodynamic consequences for patients with left ventricular assist devices (LVAD). The regurgitation can create a circulation loop limited to the left ventricle (LV), LVAD, and ascending aorta, leading to decreased systemic blood flow and increased LV filling pressure [1, 2]. Consequently, greater than mild AI is generally considered an indication for intervention on the aortic valve (AV) at the time of LVAD implantation [3]. The options for intervention include patch occlusion, AV repair, or replacement with a bioprosthetic valve [1, 4, 5].

Case presentation

A 64 year old man with non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy presented with exertional dyspnea, and

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

progressive New York Heart Association class IV symptoms despite treatment with optimal medical therapy. Following admission to our hospital, the patient was started on a milrinone infusion, but developed refractory ventricular tachycardia associated with worsening cardiogenic shock. This necessitated emergent institution of femoral veno-arterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO). While on ECMO, the patient's condition stabilized but it was not possible to wean support. It was determined that a durable LVAD would be the appropriate therapy as a bridge to transplant.

Pre-operative echocardiography demonstrated a severely dilated LV with an ejection fraction of 15%. The right ventricle was moderately dilated with moderate dysfunction. The AV was mildly thickened and calcified with moderate AI. Both the mitral and tricuspid valves had severe, functional regurgitation.

The patient underwent AV replacement with a 23 mm Intuity valve (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA), tricuspid valve repair with a 30 mm MC3 annuloplasty ring (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA), and HeartWare™



^{*} Correspondence: dpham1@nm.org

¹Division of Cardiac Surgery, Center for Advanced Heart Failure, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine and Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 201 E Huron St, Suite 11-140, II, Chicago, IL 60611, USA

HVAD implant (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN). The surgery was performed via median sternotomy with aortic and bi-caval cardiopulmonary bypass. The heart was arrested with Del Nido cardioplegia via an antegrade cannula. Through an oblique aortomy the AV cusps were excised and the annulus debrided. The annulus was sized to a 23 mm Intuity valve, and the valve was implanted in standard fashion per the "Instructions for Use". The aortomy was then closed and the cross-clamp was removed after 51 min. With beating-heart cardiopulmonary bypass, the tricuspid valve was repaired and the LVAD implanted in standard apical-to-ascending aortic fashion. The patient was weaned from cardiopulmonary bypass after 178 min without difficulty.

Post-operatively, the patient was extubated on day 4. He developed a delayed pericardial effusion requiring reexploration on day 15. He was discharged in stable condition on day 20. Echocardiography prior to discharge demonstrated a well-seated, prosthetic valve with a peak gradient of 3 mmHg and no AI. The AV opened every third beat and the LV was decompressed consistent with a well-functioning LVAD. There was trivial tricuspid and mitral regurgitation.

Discussion and conclusions

To our knowledge, this case is the first reported use of an Edwards Intuity rapid deployment valve with concomitant LVAD implantation. In this case, the valve was successful in correcting moderate AI with a good shortterm result.

With pre-operative moderate AI, this patient had an indication for surgical intervention on the AV. Adding concomitant valve intervention increases the duration and complexity of LVAD implantation; associated with this is an increased risk of mortality [6]. There is equipoise as to which surgical technique is best to address AI. Limited by short follow-up times and relatively low case numbers, the literature is only now beginning to elucidate which techniques should be used for certain patients.

Surgical occlusion and patching of the AV annulus has been performed with good short-term success [1]. However, there is the obvious disadvantage of rendering the patient 100% dependent on LVAD flow. There is also no possibility of bridge- to- recovery. An analysis of the Interagency Registry for Mechanically Assisted Circulatory Support database found that AV occlusion was associated with increased mortality [2]. For this reason we consider this technique to be less desirable.

The central coaptation repair stitch (Park's stitch) has been shown to be efficacious in decreasing AI in the short term [7-10]. A retrospective assessment at

a single center found the two year results of the Park's stitch is acceptable with decreased AI compared to patients that did not receive AV repair [4]. This technique has advantages of a relatively short cross-clamp time and no significant added expense. However, this technique has limitations as it restricts blood flow through the valve to the lateral aspects of the cusps. This is not an ideal technique for a potential bridge-to-recovery patient. Also, due to the central coaptation, the possibility of later percutaneous intervention on the AV may be technically challenging. Furthermore, after repair, some patients develop progressively worsening AI. Fukuhara et al. found 32% of patients with Park's stitch had significant AI at two years [10].

Bioprosthetic valves have been proposed as a solution for AI during LVAD implantation [5, 8]. Bioprosthetic AVs offer a reliable way to restore normal valve function and may open intermittently to permit some LV ejection. A further advantage of bioprosthetic valve implantation is if the patient recovers ventricular function, the prosthetic valve would accommodate weaning from the LVAD. A disadvantage of prosthetic valve implantation is it requires a longer cross-clamp and cardiopulmonary bypass time than the other described interventions. On a heart with severely impaired biventricular function, this longer cross-clamp time may be poorly tolerated while trying to wean cardiopulmonary bypass or during the peri-operative period. This is particularly important for patients who borderline right ventricular function operatively such as the patient in this case.

Rapid deployment valves such as the Edwards Intuity valve are particularly attractive for this patient group with poor cardiac function and little ventricular reserve as they can be implanted with shorter cross-clamp times than a traditional surgical valve [11]. The individual benefit of a decreased cross-clamp time is likely variable and intuitively would depend on the pre and peri-operative stability of the patient. Fundamentally though, reducing cardiac ischemic time without compromising efficacy of the surgery is a worthwhile goal. Borger et al. also demonstrated the Intuity valve has superior hemodynamics compared to traditional stented valves, [11] although in a LVAD patient this benefit is of unclear significance. The disadvantage of the rapid deployment valves is increased cost over a traditional surgical valve, and a significantly greater cost than Park's stitch. On the other hand, the cost per valve remains much less than a potentially alternative method of rapid valve deployment such as a Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement valve. Another potential disadvantage of rapid deployment valves is that the balloon expandable frame exerts radial force on the LV outflow tract which may increase the rate of permanent pacemaker implantation [12].

In conclusion, a rapid deployment Intuity valve was successfully implanted to treat AI on a patient undergoing concomitant LVAD implantation. This produced a good short-term result with ongoing follow-up pending. We believe the Intuity valve is a reasonable option for addressing AI at the time of LVAD implantationas it offers the reliable function of a prosthetic valve with a relatively short cross-clamp time.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

DH – concept and design, manuscript drafting and preparation, final approval. LJ – concept and design, manuscript drafting, final approval. SH – concept and design, critical revision, final approval. JR – concept and design, critical revision, final approval. DP – concept and design, critical revision, final approval.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Please contact author for data requests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Consent for publication has been received in writing.

Competing interests

DP - Consultant for MedTronic and Abbott (<\$10 k each). JR, DH, LJ, SH have no competing interests to declare.

Author details

¹Division of Cardiac Surgery, Center for Advanced Heart Failure, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine and Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 201 E Huron St, Suite 11-140, II, Chicago, IL 60611, USA. ²Division of Cardiology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine and Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, IL, USA.

Received: 19 March 2019 Accepted: 17 June 2019 Published online: 01 July 2019

References

- Rao V, Slater JP, Edwards NM, Naka Y, Oz MC. Surgical management of valvular disease in patients requiring left ventricular assist device support. Ann Thorac Surg. 2001;71:1448–53.
- Robertson JO, Naftel DC, Myers SL, Prasad S, Mertz GD, Itoh A, et al. Concomitant aortic valve procedures in patients undergoing implantation of continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices: an INTERMACS database analysis. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2015;34:797–805.
- Feldman D, Pamboukian SV, Teuteberg JJ, Birks E, Lietz K, Moore SA, et al. The 2013 International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation guidelines for mechanical circulatory support: executive summary. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2013;32:157–87.
- Fukuhara S, Ikegami H, Polanco AR, Song JJ, Han J, Takeda K, et al. Concomitant repair for mild aortic insufficiency and continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eicts/ezx150.
- Feldman CM, Silver MA, Sobieski MA, Slaughter MS. Management of Aortic Insufficiency with Continuous Flow Left Ventricular Assist Devices: bioprosthetic valve replacement. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2006;25:1410–2.
- Pal JD, Klodell CT, John R, Pagani FD, Rogers JG, Farrar DJ, et al. Low operative mortality with implantation of a continuous-flow left ventricular assist device and impact of concurrent cardiac procedures. Circulation. 2009; 120(11 Suppl):S215–9.

- Park SJ, Liao KK, Segurola R, Madhu KP, Miller LW. Management of aortic insufficiency in patients with left ventricular assist devices: a simple coaptation stitch method (Park's stitch). J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2004;127: 264–6.
- Bryant AS, Holman WL, Nanda NC, Vengala S, Blood MS, Pamboukian SV, et al. Native aortic valve insufficiency in patients with left ventricular assist devices. Ann Thorac Surg. 2006;81:e6–8.
- McKellar SH, Deo S, Daly RC, Durham LA, Joyce LD, Stulak JM, et al. Durability of central aortic valve closure in patients with continuous flow left ventricular assist devices. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2014;147:344–8.
- Fukuhara S, Takeda K, Chiuzan C, Han J, Polanco AR, Yuzefpolskaya M, et al. Concomitant aortic valve repair with continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices: results and implications. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2016;151:201–9 210.e1-7.
- Borger MA, Moustafine V, Conradi L, Knosalla C, Richter M, Merk DR, et al. A randomized multicenter trial of minimally invasive rapid deployment versus conventional full sternotomy aortic valve replacement. Ann Thorac Surg. 2015;99:17–25.
- Barnhart GR, Accola KD, Grossi EA, Woo YJ, Mumtaz MA, Sabik JF, et al. TRANSFORM (multicenter experience with rapid deployment Edwards INTUITY valve system for aortic valve replacement) US clinical trial: performance of a rapid deployment aortic valve. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2017;153:241–251.e2.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

