

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access



Determining association between blood glucose variability and postoperative delirium in acute aortic dissection patients: methodological issues

Cheng-Wen Li, Fu-Shan Xue*  and Bin Hu

Abstract

The letter to the editor made several comments regarding possible methodological issues in the recent article by Lin et al. determining the association between blood glucose variability and postoperative delirium in patients undergoing acute aortic dissection surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass, which is published in *Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery*. 2021; 16(1):82. Our concerns included the lack of some important perioperative factors associated with postoperative delirium, the process of establishing multivariate model and the method of using the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis to assess the predictive performance of the standard deviation of blood glucose for the development of POD. We would like to invite the authors to comment on these and believe that clarifying these issues would improve the transparency of this study and interpretation of findings.

Keywords: Glucose variability, Postoperative delirium, Risk factors, Prediction, Acute aortic dissection

Re: Lin YJ, et al. Association between glucose variability and postoperative delirium in acute aortic dissection patients: an observational study. *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2021; 16(1):82.

To the Editor

We have a great interest in the recent article by Lin et al. [1] determining the association between blood glucose variability and postoperative delirium (POD) in patients undergoing acute aortic dissection surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). By the multivariate Cox regression analysis, they showed that blood glucose variability was significantly associated with the risk of POD. Given that POD is one of most common complications after cardiovascular surgery with CPB and has been

significantly associated with increased length of hospital stay, costs, morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing acute aortic dissection surgery with CPB [2], their findings have potentially clinical implications. However, we noted several issues in their methodology on which we would like to invite the authors to comment.

First, the authors did not perform the preoperative neuropsychiatric assessment of patients. The available literature indicates that preoperative anxiety, depression and decreased mini-mental state exam score are the independent risk factors of POD after cardiovascular surgery with CPB [3, 4]. Furthermore, the readers were not provided with the details of anesthetic and perioperative managements. Thus, it is difficult to estimate the extent of influence that anesthetic and intraoperative interventions might have on the development of POD. Other than operating time, CPB time, aortic cross-clamp time and blood loss described in this study, it has been shown that an increased dose of fentanyl, antegrade selective

*Correspondence: xuefushan@aliyun.com; fushanxue@outlook.com
Department of Anesthesiology, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, NO. 95 Yong-An Road, Xi-Cheng District, Beijing 100050, People's Republic of China



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

cerebral perfusion time, lowest hemoglobin level, lowest mean arterial pressure, lowest body temperature and partial pressure of oxygen during CPB and intraoperative blood transfusions can significantly increase the risk of POD following cardiac and aortic dissection operations [3, 5–7]. In a retrospective or observational study, multivariate analysis indeed is a useful statistical method for determining risk factors of adverse perioperative events by adjusting patients' demographic data and controlling selection biases. To obtain the true inferences of multivariate analysis for adjusted hazard ratio of measured outcome, however, it is generally believed that all of known factors affecting measured outcome must be taken into the account. If an important risk factor is missed, multivariate adjustment for hazard ratio of measured outcome can be biased and even a spurious association between intervention and outcome of interest may be obtained [8]. Thus, we argue that not taking above preoperative and intraoperative factors associated with the risk of POD into the account would have distorted the inferences of the multivariate Cox regression analysis and biased the adjusted hazard ratios of interested variables including blood glucose variability in this study.

Second, the authors did not provide the details of building two multivariate Cox regression models for identification of possible predictors for the development of POD. Thus, it was unclear why the authors only selected age, male, first time blood glucose level, neutrophil and white blood cell counts, hypoxemia, mechanical ventilation duration, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation Score of more than 20, and standard deviation of blood glucose as covariate variables to be included into the multivariate model for statistical adjustment. Generally speaking, when building a multivariate model, all candidate covariate variables must be entered into the univariate model to examine multicollinearity. Then, the covariate variables with large P values ($P < 0.2$) in the univariate analyses can be included into the multivariate model using POD as the dependent outcome variable for identification of independent risk factors for the occurrence POD, with their P values, adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals [8]. As an important step of building the multivariate model may have been ignored in this study, we are concerned that their results of multivariate analyses determining the association between blood glucose variability and POD would have been biased due to multicollinearity.

Finally, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to assess predictive performance of the standard deviation of blood glucose for the development of POD. After the ROC curve analysis, the authors provided its area under the ROC curve, sensitivity and specificity, but not its Youden index at the

optimal cutoff point, positive and negative predictive values. Thus, the readers cannot determine whether blood glucose variability really has a good predictive ability for the occurrence of POD, despite it has an areas under the ROC curve of 0.763 [9].

Abbreviations

POD: Postoperative delirium; CPB: Cardiopulmonary bypass; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic curve.

Acknowledgements

None

Authors' contributions

All authors had carefully read the manuscript of Lin et al., analyzed their methods and data. CWL and FSX suggested comment points and drafted this manuscript. BH revised comment points and this manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

There is no financial support for this work.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

As our paper is just a letter to the editor that comments a article published in journal, the ethics approval and consent are unnecessary.

Consent for publication

All authors agree to the contents of the submitted manuscript and acknowledge familiarity with the journal's instructions for manuscript submission.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 4 May 2021 Accepted: 8 November 2021

Published online: 04 December 2021

References

- Lin YJ, Lin LY, Peng YC, Zhang HR, Chen LW, Huang XZ, Chen Q. Association between glucose variability and postoperative delirium in acute aortic dissection patients: an observational study. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2021;16(1):82. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13019-021-01456-4>.
- Cai S, Zhang X, Pan W, Latour JM, Zheng J, Zhong J, et al. Prevalence, predictors, and early outcomes of post-operative delirium in patients with type a aortic dissection during intensive care unit stay. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2020;7: 572581. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.572581>.
- Guenther U, Theuerkauf N, Frommann I, Brimmers K, Malik R, Stori S, et al. Predisposing and precipitating factors of delirium after cardiac surgery: a prospective observational cohort study. *Ann Surg.* 2013;257(6):1160–7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e318281b01c>.
- Humphreys JM, Denson LA, Baker RA, Tully PJ. The importance of depression and alcohol use in coronary artery bypass graft surgery patients: risk factors for delirium and poorer quality of life. *J Geriatr Cardiol.* 2016; 13(1):51–7. <https://doi.org/10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2016.01.010>.
- Andrejaitiene J, Sirvinskas E. Early post-cardiac surgery delirium risk factors. *Perfusion.* 2012;27(2):105–12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0267659111425621>.
- Shi Q, Mu X, Zhang C, Wang S, Hong L, Chen X. Risk factors for postoperative delirium in type a aortic dissection patients: a retrospective study. *Med Sci Monit.* 2019; 25:3692–3699. <https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.913774>.

7. Rudiger A, Begdeda H, Babic D, Krüger B, Seifert B, Schubert M, et al. Intra-operative events during cardiac surgery are risk factors for the development of delirium in the ICU. *Crit Care*. 2016;20:264. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-016-1445-8>.
8. Grant SW, Hickey GL, Head SJ. Statistical primer: multivariable regression considerations and pitfalls. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2019;55(2):179–85. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejcts/ezy403>.
9. Yin J, Mutiso F, Tian L. Joint hypothesis testing of the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve and the Youden index. *Pharm Stat*. 2021 Jan 29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pst.2099>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

